



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/857,904	10/01/2001	Kiyoshi Sawada	19036/37471	1218	
4743	7590 01/31/2005		EXAMINER		
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 6300 SEARS TOWER			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II	L 60606		3727		

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/857,904	SAWADA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robin A. Hylton	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	fthe final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause: .					
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying th	е			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	•					
. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:		•				
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 6-19						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	/ / // / //				
10. Other:		A HALTON	•			
		POIMARY EXAMINER				

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/857,904

Continuation of 2. NOTE: While the proposed amendments obviate the 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejections set forth in the previous Office action, the proposed amendment to claim 1 creates another issue under this statute. The structural relationships between "a cut area having apertures" and the first slit forming apertures in the opening area and the second slit separating the easily peelable area from the non-peelable area. Regarding the rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph, the specification does not support the claim language of the cut area having apertures. Rather, the specification at page 5, lines 6-13 and page 12, lines 6-12 sets forth the cut area as forming apertures.